

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	. F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/088,750 03/20/2002		03/20/2002	Nobuhiko Nakashima	3190-015	8810
33432	7590	04/25/2006		EXAMINER	
KILYK &		SOX, P.L.L.C. RT	KAM, CHIH MIN		
SUITE 102				ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
WARRENTON, VA 20186				1656	

DATE MAILED: 04/25/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/088,750	NAKASHIMA ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	·	Chih-Min Kam	1656				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	Idress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status				•			
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 Fe This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		e merits is			
Dispositi	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠ 8)□ Applicati 9)□	Claim(s) 1-4,9,12,14,16-20,22-25 and 27-31 is 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-4,12,14,18,19,28 and Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 9,17,20,24,27,30 and 31 is/are rejected to Claim(s) 16,22,23 and 25 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or are subject to restriction and/or are subject to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on 22 April 2005 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction	nd 29 is/are withdrawn from consed. r election requirement. r. ⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	by the Examiner. 37 CFR 1.85(a).	• FR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			•			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment	t(s)						
1) Notice 2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te	D-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/088,750 Page 2

Art Unit: 1656

DETAILED ACTION

Status of the Claims

1. Claims 1-4, 9, 12, 14, 16-20, 22-25 and 27-31 are pending.

Applicants' amendment filed on February 22, 2006 is acknowledged. Applicants' response has been fully considered. Claims 16, 20 and 30 have been amended, and claims 13, 15 and 21 have been cancelled. Claims 1-4, 12, 14, 18, 19, 28 and 29 are non-elected inventions and withdrawn from consideration. Thus, claims 9, 16, 17, 20, 22-25, 27, 30 and 31 are examined.

This application contains claims 1-4, 12, 14, 18, 19, 28 and 29 drawn to an invention nonelected with traverse in the response to restriction requirement filed December 16, 2004. A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancellation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.

Withdrawn Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The previous rejection of claims 13, 15 and 21 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, is withdrawn in view of applicant's cancellation of the claims in the amendment filed February 22, 2006.
- 3. The previous rejection of claim 13 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, is withdrawn in view of applicant's cancellation of the claim, and applicants' response at pages 12-13 in the amendment filed February 22, 2006.

Withdrawn Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. Previous rejection of claims 9, 13, 15, 16 and 20-23 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sasaki et al. (J. Virology, 73, 1219-1226 (1999)) is withdrawn in view of

Art Unit: 1656

applicant's amendment of the claims, and applicants' response at pages 13-15 in the amendment filed February 22, 2006.

Maintained Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

5. Previous rejection of claims 9, 17, 24, 27, 30 and 31 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement is maintained. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Applicant's arguments have been fully considered, and the response to the argument is shown below.

Claims 9, 17, 24, 27, 30 and 31 are directed to a method of synthesizing a heterologous polypeptide or a method of initiating synthesis of arbitrary heterologous polypeptide *in vitro*, the method comprising utilizing a polynucleotide that promotes translation activity and has an RNA higher-order structure including PK (pseudoknot) I, II and III structures, wherein the polynucleotide that promotes translation activity is selected from: 1) one of the sequences of SEQ ID NO:1-7; 2) a base sequence containing SEQ ID NO:1-6 or 7; 3) a base sequence substantially identical to the base sequence of 1) and having the at least PK I, II and III structures or a structure homologous thereto, and wherein the polynucleotide encoding the heterologous polypeptide is immediately downstream from the PKI structure of the polynucleotide that promotes translation activity. While the specification discloses an RNA higher-order structure

Application/Control Number: 10/088,750

Art Unit: 1656

having a function of promoting translation activity contains a base sequence of SEQ ID NO:1-6 or 7 (pages 6-7); the RNA higher-order structure of SEQ ID NO:1 containing three pseudoknot structures (PK I, II and III) contributes to the initiation and acceleration of translation of a protein (e.g., luciferase) in vitro and a specific mutation of PK I in the PSIV-IRES permits translation of a GFP gene, where in vitro translation was carried out using a rabbit reticulocyte lysate (Example 1; Figs. 7 and 8); utilizing a mutated PSIV-IRES permits translating a heterologous protein that begins with an arbitrary amino acid in cell-free system using a wheat germ extract (Example 2, Fig. 9); and a base sequence substantially identical to the base sequence of SEQ ID NO:1-6 or 7 is a base sequence having a homology at least 50% to the base sequence of SEQ ID NO:1-7 and having the at least PK I, II and III structures or a structure homologous thereto (the paragraph bridges between pages 7 and 8), it does not describe a genus of variants for a polynucleotide sequence that is substantially identical to the base sequence of SEQ ID NO:1-6 or 7 and having a function for promoting translation activity, or a structure homologous to the structures of PK I, II and III in the RNA higher-order structure. For example, the specification does not identify the portion of the polynucleotide sequence identical to the base sequence of SEQ ID NO:1-7 in the sequence having at least 50% homology to the base sequence, or the nucleotides in the base sequence being modified (i.e., including deletion, substitution, addition or insertion) and still keeping the modified sequence functional; nor demonstrates any of these polynucleotide variants having translation activity. Furthermore, the specification has not described the structures that are homologous to the structures of PK I, II and III. Without guidance on structure to function/activity relationship for variants of SEO ID NO:1-7, one skilled in the art would not know which nucleotides in the sequences of SEQ ID

Art Unit: 1656

NO:1-7 are essential for its translation activity, and how to identify a functional polynucleotide from numerous polynucleotides having at least 50% homology to SEQ ID NO:1-7. The lack of description of structure to function/activity relationship for variants of SEQ ID NO:1-7 and the lack of representative species as encompassed by the claims, applicants have failed to sufficiently describe the claimed invention, in such full, clear, concise terms that a skilled artisan would not recognize applicants were in possession of the claimed invention.

Response to Argument

Applicants indicate claims 20 and 30 have been amended, and the amendment to the claims would overcome the rejection (pages 11 and 12 of the response).

Applicants' response has been considered, however, the argument is not persuasive because the specification merely describes specific higher-order RNA structures with a defined base sequence of SEQ ID NO:1-7 (Example 1, Figs. 15 and 16; pages 5-6) and a specific mutation in the in the PK I of PSIV-IRES (Fig. 7), and provides a general description regarding sequence homology or mutation on the base sequence of SEQ ID NO:1-7 (pages 7-8), it does not provide sufficient teachings on the identities of the functional polynucleotide variants for the sequences having at least 50% homology to SEQ ID NO:1-7 and modified sequence of SEQ ID NO:1-7. As indicated in the paragraph above, without establishing the correlation of the structure to function/activity for variants of SEQ ID NO:1-7, the functional polynucleotide variant of SEQ ID NO:1-7 cannot be readily identified. Therefore, applicants have failed to sufficiently describe the claimed invention that a skilled artisan would not recognize applicants were in possession of the claimed invention.

Claim Objection

Application/Control Number: 10/088,750

Art Unit: 1656

6. Claims 16, 22, 23 and 25 are objected to because the claim is dependent from a rejected claim, claim 20.

Conclusion

7. Claims 9, 17, 20, 24, 27, 30 and 31 are rejected; and claims 16, 22, 23 and 25 are objected to.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chih-Min Kam whose telephone number is (571) 272-0948. The examiner can normally be reached on 8.00-4:30, Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kathleen Kerr can be reached at 571-272-0931. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

chip

Chih-Min Kam, Ph. D.

Patent Examiner

CMK

April 21, 2006